



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,028	09/28/2001	Maurice Granger	1759.053	4669
7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER	
John Pietrangelo Heslin Rothenberg Farley & Mesiti P.C. 5 Columbia Circle			DEXTER, CLARK F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Albany, NY	12203		3724	,
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
· _		
Office Action Summary	09/966,028	GRANGER, MAURICE
omoc Aodon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Clark F. Dexter	3724 the correspondence address
Period for Reply		·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed  D) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 L		
	s action is non-final.	proposition as to the monito is
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a condition.	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		-
	cepted or b)  objected to by	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	ì
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl prity documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment/e)	·	
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/966,028

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 16-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Granger, pn 4,846,035 (hereafter "Granger '035).

Granger '035 discloses an apparatus with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention including a drum (e.g., 7) and a shaft (e.g., 11), including a central section of the shaft having a second outer diameter as claimed (i.e., it is considered to comprise a "dolly axle" to the extent claimed), but lacks the length of the central section of the shaft being greater than the width of the at least one groove of the drum.

However, the Examiner takes Official notice that it is old and well known in the art to simply make one of the length and width larger than the other for various well known benefits including compensating for manufacturing tolerances and for facilitating interchangeability of parts. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to make one of the length and width of Granger's components of different dimensions for the well known benefits including that described above. In particular, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to make the

Application/Control Number: 09/966,028

Art Unit: 3724

length of the central section of the shaft, wherein the shaft is the simpler component, longer to accommodate any manufacturing tolerances and to provide for an easier fit.

Page 3

## Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed December 22, 2003 have been fully considered and are considered to be persuasive with respect to the teachings of Fleishauer. However, to the extent claimed, the Examiner's position is that the claimed invention remains obvious over the prior art of record including the applied prior art, particularly since the configuration of the "dolly axle" is not sufficiently set forth. That is, the scope of the term "dolly axle" is considered to be broad and thus disclosed and/or taught by the applied prior art. However, the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest the invention as set forth in claim 1 that further includes the drum and shaft having parallel axes, and the central section of the shaft having the specific disclosed shape (see Figs. 2 and 3) of the dolly axle (e.g., the concave shape).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (703)308-1404. The examiner can normally be reached on Wednesdays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (703)308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/966,028

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd March 22, 2004